

RECEIVED
OCT 02 2019
PRO SE OFFICE

①

ORIGINAL

TIME OF START
945 AM - 2.30

PLAINTIFF YEHUDA HERSKOVIC DEMAND TO STOP
THE FRAUDELENT DOCUMENTATION AND CONTENT
TO THE RESPECTED COURT OF UNITED STATES
AND THE HONORABLE JUDGES ANN M. DONNELLY AND
ROBERT M. LEVY. BY ATTORNEY ANDREW P. KATES AND MR
DANIEL REED AS REPRESENTATIVE OF VERIZON WIRELESS
ON OCT 1 2019 6¹⁹ PM I HAVE RECEIVED E-MAIL FROM THE
FIRM SEGAL, MCCAMBRIDGE, SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD AS
ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT AND THE ATTORNEY ANDREW P. KATES
MR KATES WITH 5 PAGES AS SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF REGARDING
MOTION TO COMPEL CONTRACTUAL ARBITRATION AND STAY
ACTION AND THE SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF DANIEL REED
RE MOTION TO COMPEL CONTRACTUAL ARBITRATION AND STAY
ACTION TWO PAGES THE DOCUMENT DATED DEC 08 2016
04.50 PM EST. WITH THE MOST RESPECT TO THE COURT I ASK YOUR
HONORABLE JUDGE AN WHOMAY VIEW THIS DOCUMENT WHAT
IS WRONG WITH THIS DOCUMENT? WHEN I VIEWD THIS
DOCUMENT I COULD NOT BELIVE MY EYES UNTIL NOW MY
UNDERSTANDING WAS THAT THE FRAUD STARTED WHEN
THEY SEND ME THIS FRAUDELENT BILL OF 176 \$
DISCONTINUING CHARGE BUT AS TO FRAUD MY SIGNATURE
AND TO ATTACH IT TO COURT PAPER THIS IS A REALY "CHUTSPA"
"CONTENT," AND DISRESPECT AN "CRIMINAL," PAGE TWO
OF DOCUMENT OF BILL THEY FRAUDED MY SIGNATURE
AS YOU CAN SEE IN ALL MY DOCUMENTATION AND
MY ID AND THE FIRST DOCUMENT THAT THEY HAVE
PROVIDED FROM APRIL 2, 2014 AND FOR KATES TO

11/11/19

AGAIN AND AGAIN LIKE A BROKEN RECORD ARBITRATION
 ARBITRATION WITH NOTING ABOUT DO I OWÉ THE 176\$
 AM I RESPONSIBLE FOR SWITCHING TO ANOTHER COMPANY
 NOTHING ABOUT EVRITING THAT I WROTE HE AGAIN DISRESPECT
 THE COURT AGAIN AND AGAIN BY MAKIN BELIVE AS IF
 THIS IS A SUPPLEMENT CONTRACT IN DEC 8 2016 TO THE
 CONTRACT OF APRIL 2 2014 AS I WROTE TO THE COURT ON
 SEP 27 2019 AND AFTER MY STRONG PROTEST TO THE
 DISRESPECT OF COURT INSTEAD OF WIDROWING THE DOCUMENT
 AND APOLOGISE TO THE COURT AGAIN AND AGAIN SAME
 ARBITRATION ARBITRATION NOTING ABOUT FACT'S OF
 THE AMOUNT OF 176\$. NOW VERIZON WIRELESS HAD A
 CHANCE OF ARBITRATION AT "SMALL CLAIMS COURT" TO
 RESOLVE THIS, THEY REFUSED TO COME TO COURT AND
 SEND A RETURN CHECK FOR ALL CHARGES BY DOING THAT
 THEY GAVE UP THEIR RIGHT IF THEY RETURN ALL THE
 MONTHLY CHARGES THAN THEIR IS NO CONTRACT AND NO
 "MORE" ARBITRATION. AND NOW THEIR IS NO "DOCUMENT
 FROM VERIZON WIRELESS" IN COURT WITH "MY" "SIGNATURE"
 AS WRITEN ABOVE AND YOUR HONOR CAN LOOK AT THE DOCUMENT
 KATES AND REED HAD SHAMED THE COURT OF UNITED STATE
 OF AMERICA AND ITS ON RECORD THE "TWO DOCUMENTS
 SPEEK FOR ITSELF" I REALY CAN NOT UNDERSTAND TO BRING
 IN UNITED STATES OF AMERICA COURT THIS KIND OF
 FRAUDULENT DOCUMENTS FOR EVERY BODY TO SEE,
 IN PAGE 1 - 2 MR KATES AGAIN STATES THAT EVEN THE TWO
 RECEIPT ARE NOT RELATED AND NOT EVEN SAME PLACE

I AM OWNED BY VERIZON WIRELESS FOR EVER NOT EVEN
 MAKING ANY INDICATION ABOUT WHAT I WROTE ON SEP 27
 2019. AND AGAIN ARBITRATION NOTING ABOUT FACT OF FOUR
 HARASSING LETTERS AND SO MANY PHONE CALLS AND MY
 RISING BLOOD PRESSURE MY HEALTH MY TIME AND MONEY
 MY CREDIT RECORD ONLY ARBITRATION "AND WHAT,
 IF WE GO ARBITRATION THIS IS ONLY FOR KATES TO
 AGAIN AND AGAIN WRITE PAPER SO HE CAN COLLECT FROM
 HIS CLIENT NOT ADVISING PROPERLY THEIR CLIENT
 CORRECTLY. AT CONFERENCE SEPTEMBER 10 2019 IT WAS
 NOT ONLY DISCLOSED THE DOCUMENT JUDGE ASKED IF HE HAD
 CASES THAT I AM NOT ENTITLED TO GET ALL RECORD THAT
 PROOF THAT VERIZON WIRELESS REPRESENTATIVE ON PHONE
 RELEASED PLAINTIFF YEHUDA HERKOVIC KATES DID NOT BRING
 THEN AND NOT NOW ANY PROOF OR STATEMENT TO CONTRARY
 THE STATEMENT OF YEHUDA HERKOVIC HE ONLY RESPONDED
 AT "END VERIZON WIRELESS DENIES ALL," "NO COURT,"
 "NO JUDGE," "NO MR HERKOVIC," NO UNITED STATES OF
 AMERICA" AND THE JUDGE RIGHTFULLY GO AHEAD AND
 RESPONDED TO THAT BUT NOT MENTIONS 5 PAGES
 OF TURNING AN TWIST ARBITRATION. ON PAGE 3-4 HE
 BOLDED THE SENTENCE OF MY UNDERSTANDING "BOTH WERE
 IS MY SIGNATURE," AND WHATS THE PURPOSE OF ARBITRATION
 THAT YOU HAVE REFUSED AT SMALL CLAIM, AND
 NO MENTION FROM MY ARGUMENT TO THE COURT
 "GETS," SAY IT IS MY SIGNATURE BY SIGNING THE
 AGREEMENT THEY GOT MY CONSENT THAT THEY

CAN HARASS ME ALL MY LIFE FOR THE NEXT HOW MANY YEARS AN ANY THING THEY DO IS ARBITRATION IN PAGE 4 KATE'S WRITE "MOREOVER, THERE IS NO DISPUTE THAT PLAINTIFF IS BOUND BY THE ARBITRATION PROVISION," HOW CAN HE WRITE SUCH STATEMENT WHEN I DISPUTED IT ALL ALONG. BUT THERE IS NO DISPUTE THAT MR KATE HAD DISRESPECTED THE COURT AND THERE IS NO DISPUTE THAT BOTH DOCUMENT ARE OR FALSELY SIGN OR ATT BEST MISLEADING THUS THE MOTION TO COMPEL AND STAY SHOULD STRONGLY DENIED AND ORDERD TO 1) REMOVE DAMAGING REPORT 2) TO PROVIDE DOCUMENTS AND ALL OF THEM AN THE ORIGINAL SOFT PAPER TO COURT OF CONTRACT 3) ALL TELEPHONE CONVERSATIONS RELATED TO THIS ACTION 4) IF MR KATE IS WRITING A LAW CASE HE SHOULD PROVIDE PLAINTIFF A COPY TO BE ABLE TO SEE THE WHOLE ROLLING NOT JUST FISING WORDS 5) TO REALY SIT DOWN AN RESOLVE THIS CASE A JUDGE HAVE ASKED TO NOT JUST ON WAY TO ELEVATOR MUMBLING WHAT IS YOUR OFFER TO REALY NEGOSIATE AND 6) THE COURT SHOULD ROLL ABOUT THE DISRESPECT AND THE LEGIBAL DOCUMENT THAT WAS PROVIDED BY MR KATES AND REED FOR WERISON WIRELESS AND I RESPECTFULLY BOW TO YOU HONOR

(5)

AFTER ALL THE WORK I HAVE PUT IN ITS NOW THE
TIME 2:30 PM

TO ANDREW P KATOS, ESQ. (AK 4164)

SEGAL, MCCAMBRIDGE, SINGER

& MAHONEY, LTD

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

CELLCO PARTNERSHIP

D/B/A VERIZO WIRELESS

850 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 1100

NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10022

(212 651 7500)

YEHUDA HERSKOVIC
Jh Jh

303 MARCY AVE
BROOKLYN NY. 11211

MAIL 225 ROSS STR

BROOKLYN NY. 11211

